

REMARKS

By this amendment, applicant is canceling the allowed claims 21, 45, 54-58, 60, 69, 73-83, and 124-131. This leaves only claims 1-7 in this application, so that the patent to be issued will contain only claims 1-7.

Applicant intends to present the canceled claims in a continuation application so that they will not be subject to the terminal disclaimer filed on September 21, 2006. The terminal disclaimer was filed along with a response to an Office Action in which claims 1-7 of this application were rejected on the ground of obviousness-type double patenting. Thus, the terminal disclaimer was filed only to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-7, and should not apply to any of the other claims that have been allowed in this application. By canceling all the claims except claims 1-7 from this application and presenting those canceled claims in a continuation application, the terminal disclaimer will not have any effect on those canceled claims. See MPEP § 1490, p. 1400-114.

Thus, the entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Dated: October 3, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Stephen G. Rudisill

Registration No.: 20,087

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, A PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION

225 W. Washington, Ste. 2600

Chicago, Illinois 60606-3418

(312) 425-3900

Attorneys For Applicant